

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,611	08/05/2003	Hung-Min Liu	NAUP0520USA	1610
27765	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE (NAIPC) P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
		DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,611	LIU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James M. Mitchell	2813
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	8 April 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 7	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und		-
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withen 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to	= : : '	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
 Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the election filed April 8, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cabintos (U.S. 2002/0052129).
- 4. Cabintos (Fig. 2-4A) discloses:
- (cl. 1) a probe tip, the probe tip comprising: a needle body (152); and a stop cylinder having a recess for accommodating the needle body therein, the needle body being electrically connected to the stop cylinder via a resilient conductive material (160); wherein the stop cylinder has art annual flat bottom surrounding the needle body (Fig. (cl. 2) wherein the metal pads (42) is made of aluminum or copper (Par. 0009) and is formed on a chip (Par. 0032);
- (cl. 3) and the needle body protrudes from the bottom of the stop cylinder by at least 1 micron (Par. 0034);
- 5. With respect to the intended use limitations of "for flip-chip packaging process," and "for pressing a protruding probe mark on a metal pad...." the prior art satisfies applicant's claimed structural limitations. As such, the limitations do not impart patentability, since it has been held that the manner in which a claimed apparatus is

Art Unit: 2813

intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabintos (U.S. 2002/0052129).
- 8. Cabintos discloses the elements stated in paragraph 4 of this action and further discloses a width of its annual flat bottom (Fig 2), but does not expressly show that the width is about 20 to 30 microns.
- 9. In any case, applicant has not disclosed the width for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or is otherwise critical, as such the claimed size would have been obvious, since it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d

Application/Control Number: 10/604,611

Art Unit: 2813

1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cabintos (U.S. 2002/0052129) as applied to claim 1 and further in combination with Gessford (U.S 6,603,297).

Cabintos does not show that the resilient conductive material is conductive glue.

Gessford (Fig. 5) utilizes conductive glue ("adhesive", not labeled; Col. 5, Lines 55-59).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a conductive adhesive with the needle of Cabintos in order to join the needle to another member [i.e. base in Cabintos] as taught by Gessford, (Col. 5, Lines 55-59).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art discloses various uses of adhesives with probes and likewise probes with resilient materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2813

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 18, 2005

CAPIL WHITEHEAD, JR. PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNICIA ORGANIZATION